

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,244	11/13/2001	Paul W. Dent	4015-1813	4015-1813 3623	
24112 7	590 04/18/2005		EXAMINER		
COATS & BENNETT, PLLC			NGUYEN, STEVEN H D		
P O BOX 5 RALEIGH, NO	C 27602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
KALEIOH, N	27002		2665	- THE DRIVEN BER	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<del></del>			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Appli	cant(s)	_			
		10/007,244	DENT	r, PAUL W.				
		Examiner	Art U	nit				
		Steven HD Nguyen	2665					
TI Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication a eply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the corresp	ondence add	dress			
THE MAI  - Extensions after SIX (  - If the periodate in	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta received by the Office later than three months after the matent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimur od will apply and will expire SIX of tute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be of (6) MONTHS from the mailing come ABANDONED (35 U.3	considered timely ng date of this co S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 13	November 2001.						
2a)∐ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a) 5)⊠ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	aim(s) <u>40-48</u> is/are pending in the applica Of the above claim(s) is/are withd aim(s) <u>40-44</u> is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>45-48</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideratio						
Application	Papers							
9)[] The	specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) <u></u> The	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the corr e oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receive ents have been receive riority documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No. been received in th	·•	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
``	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Inte	rview Summary (PTO-4	13)				
2)  Notice of 3)  Information	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/(s)/Mail Date 11/13/01.	Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Ap	<u> </u>	-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 41-42 are objected to because of the following informalities:

As claim 41-42, "a transmit direction" should be changed – the transmit direction --.

As claim 43, "a direction" should be changed to – the transmit direction --.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Specification

2. The first sentence, after "April 6, 1998", inserting – now US patent 6,377,558 --.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 45-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (USP 6157621) in view of Varma (USP 5859835).

Regarding claim 45, Brown discloses a method including the steps of determining a subset of directions in which said directive beams can be transmitted in a next transmission (Fig 48, Ref channel assignment and 628 and 630 or Fig 112A, Ref channel assignment and Ref 1288 and 1290 is used for generating a direction beam and Fig 3, Ref 486 for using to steer the beam

Art Unit: 2665

to the cell within a supercell, Fig 112B, the OAD used for steering the beams and mapping the data packet to the beam in a time sequence); mapping a destination identifier code stored with each said data packets to a corresponding beam direction and selecting only data packets whose destinations map to a direction within said subset of directions (Fig 48, Ref 624 and 630 or Fig 112A, Ref 1278 and 1292 for using to map the call ID and node of the packet with the beam, channel, cell and suppercell, See Col. 21, lines 47 to col. 22, lines 18 of Fig 48 and Col. 60, lines 32 to col. 61, lines 12) wherein the packets is stored at the buffer (Fig 112A, Ref 1309 and col. 22, lines 11-14, the packets are stored in the buffer of the beam). However, Brown fails to disclose the steps of ordering said selected data packets according to how long they have been stored in said buffer memory, with said selected data packets which have been stored the longest ordered first; and transmitting the selected data packets that have been stored the longest first. In the same field of endeavor, Varma discloses a method and system for attaching a time stamp for each packet and ordering the packets in the queues based on the time stamp (Fig 4, Ref 32) includes the queues for storing the sorted packet based on time stamp, Fig 12A and scheduler for selecting the packets with smallest time stamp in each queue for transmitting to next node, Fig. 12B, See Abstract, See col. 5, lines 45-57, col. 14, lines 30-40).

Since, Brown suggests the message transmission times are determined based on the queuing delay and the use of FIFO buffer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to implement a method for selecting the packets with oldest time in the buffer for transmitting to next node as disclosed by Varma's system and method. The motivation would have been to prevent a stalled queue in the system.

Regarding claim 46, Brown and Vamar fail to disclose the step of deleting the selected data packets from the buffer memory which have been transmitted. However, the examiner takes an official notices that a method and system for releasing or deleting the transmitted packets from the queue or buffer or memory is well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to implement a method for deleting the transmitted packets from the buffer into the system and method of Brown and Varma. The motivation would have been to prevent data error when the new packet is written on the top of the old packet.

Regarding claim 47, Varma discloses the step of setting an indication flag in the buffer memory in association with the selected data packets already transmitted (Col. 17, lines 9-13, the value of counter is zero for indicating the selected packets already transmitted).

Regarding claim 48, Brown and Vamar fail to disclose the step of releasing memory locations in the buffer memory where the selected data packets that have been transmitted were stored so as to provide storage capacity for new data packets. However, the examiner takes an official notices that a method and system for releasing the memory location when the packets have been transmitted from the queue or buffer or memory is well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to implement a method for releasing the memory location when the packets have been transmitted from the queue or buffer or memory into the system and method of Brown and Varma. The motivation would have been to prevent data error when the new packet is written on the top of the old packet.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,244 Page 5

Art Unit: 2665

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 40-44 are allowed.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior arts fails to disclose a scheduler for selecting data packets from a buffer memory to be transmitted in a next time period using multiple directional transmission beams controllable in direction, said scheduler comprising: a backlog tracker determining a waiting time for each data packet, said waiting time indicative of how long each data packet has waited in the buffer memory for transmission; a first selector for selecting the data packet that has been waiting the longest as indicated by the backlog tracker; and a second selector selecting further data packets for simultaneous transmission in descending order of waiting time, said second selector skipping data packets requiring a transmit direction incompatible with the direction of transmission of a data packet previously selected for transmission at a same time.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Turner (USP 5260935) discloses a method and system for transmitting a packet based on the waiting time of packet in the queue.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,244 Page 6

Art Unit: 2665

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven HD Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2665 4/12/05